



CRM-M-26475-20251

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

280

CRM-M-26475-2025
Decided on :02.09.2025

Mohit and another

Versus

.....Petitioners

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Rishi Pal Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. P.K. Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vishavjeet, Advocate for respondents No. 2 to 5.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 30.03.2024(P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
259	25.03.2024	201, 323, 34, 506 IPC	Sadar Karnal, District Karnal

2. Vide order dated 15.05.2025 , the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.



CRM-M-26475-2025

2

3. Report has since been received from learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Karnal, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

Further, it is noticed that in the statement dated 09.06.2025 recorded before the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Karnal, ASI Jasvinder Singh has clarified that the name of accused Mohit (petitioner No.1) was erroneously recorded as 'Amit' by the complainant in the FIR.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Two accused
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	Four victims/complainant
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before	No complainant/accused has been left out in the petition and all have been arrayed as party in the petition.



	High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	Nothing worth noticing reported

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are the only named accused in the FIR in question, and that the private respondents are complainant/aggrieved parties therein.

6. Learned State counsel as also learned counsel for private respondents, after going through the statements and the report received from learned Court below, very fairly admit that the private parties have resolved their dispute and effected a compromise and they have no objection if the FIR (supra) and all the consequential proceedings are quashed on the basis of the compromise.

7. In view of the report of the learned learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Karnal, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh*

**CRM-M-26475-2025**

4

and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal)

1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below

9. Petition stands disposed of.

02.09.2025*rashmi***(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**