



CRM-M-26354-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203

CRM-M-26354-2025
Decided on: 02.09.2025

BALWINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Sutikshan Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Balwinder Singh	25	20.03.2025	115(2) of BNS (323 of IPC), 118 (1) of BNS (324 of IPC), 118 (2) of BNS (326 of IPC), 191 (3) of BNS (148 of IPC), 190 of BNS (149 of IPC), 329 (3) of BNS 2023 (447 of IPC)	Dera Baba Nanak	Batala (Gurdaspur)

2. On 15.05.2025, following order was passed:-

“Present : Mr. Sutikshan Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

[1] The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case



FIR No.25 dated 20.03.2025 registered at Police Station Dera Baba Nanak, District Batala (Gurdaspur), under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 118(2), 191(3), 190, 329(3) of BNS, 2023.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner; inter alia, contends that a false case has been registered against the petitioner at the instance of the complainant-party due to political rivalry. He submits that it is a case of version & cross-version and the petitioner-accused is 50 years of age.

[3] Notice of motion.

[4] On advance notice, Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, DAG, Punjab appears on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to file status report. However, learned State counsel has confirmed that the petitioner has been attributed only a simple injury.

[5] Adjourned to 24.07.2025.

[6] In the meanwhile, in the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Area Magistrate and also subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) That the petitioner shall further make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.*
- (ii) That the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.*
- (iii) That the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Trial Court/CJM concerned.*

*15.05.2025
atulsethi*

*[HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN]
JUDGE"*

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 15.05.2025, passed by this Court,

**CRM-M-26354-2025****3**

petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 25.05.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 15.05.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

02.09.2025
NainaRajput

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**