



207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12540-2016

Date of Decision: 23.09.2025

Rajesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Naresh Kumar Chhokar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, DAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 15.06.2016, the following order was passed:

“Notice of motion.

*Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf
of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.*

*Writ petitioner – Rajesh Kumar has filed the present
petition praying for quashing the impugned advertisement
No.8/2015 dated 19.07.2016 as ultra-vires of the Punjab
Police Rules, 1934 on the ground that the cut-off date,
namely, 01.02.2016 prescribed for calculating the age of
the candidates is illegal, arbitrary and ultra vires of the
above rules.*

*A similar petition filed by Yashbir Singh & Ors. Vs.
State of Haryana & Ors., bearing C.W.P No. 20523 of
2015 came up for consideration before the co-ordinate
Bench of this Court on 03.11.2015 and it was held that
inasmuch as the advertisement had been issued on
19.07.2015, the next preceding February would be of the
same year i.e. 2015 and thus petitioners therein were*

within a prescribed age limit.

In view of the above decision already taken by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court in a similar matter, I direct the respondents to consider the candidature of the petitioner on merits subject to the final out come of this writ petition.

Post the matter on 20.07.2016.”

2. Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that he has no instructions, however, he may be granted liberty to move an appropriate application if cause survives.

3. ***Disposed of*** with liberty aforesaid.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

23.09.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No