



CRM-M-26326-2025

1

268

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26326-2025

Date of decision: 23.07.2025

KAMALJEET SINGH AND OTHERS**....Petitioners****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR****....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present: M. B.S. Rana-I, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Amrit Kaur Mahir, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vipin Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners have filed 2nd petition under Section 528 of BNSS, for quashing of FIR No.111, dated 16.09.2024, registered under Sections 85, 115(2) and 3(5) of BNS, at Police Station Amargarh, District Malerkotla (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 08.11.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. As per facts of the case, Ramandeep Kaur complainant/respondent No.2 filed written complaint alleging that she is Assistant Professor teaching in Political Science at Government Ranvir College, Sangrur. Her marriage was solemnized with Kamaljeet Singh on 30.03.2024. Her husband was working as a Clerk at the Sessions Court, Ludhiana and was on probation. Her parents had given dowry as per their status. Her husband and in-laws were unhappy with the dowry articles and they raised demand for a new car. They also used to say that they will have to buy a new house and she should give her entire salary as well as previous



earnings to them. Her parents had already spent huge money on her marriage. They were unable to fulfill their demand for a car. Her earnings from previous service were spent on her marriage. Because of this, her husband got angry and gave her beating. She received injuries on her head, left ear and left arm wrist. She was locked in a room. Finally, she called her parents and she was taken to Civil Hospital, Sangrur. The matter was reported to the police on the basis of which FIR was lodged.

3. Petitioners-Kamaljeet Singh, Surinder Kaur and Bikramjit Singh filed this petition for quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. Vide order dated 16.05.2025, petitioners and respondent No.2 were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. Detailed report regarding compromise has been received from the court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Malerkotla, dated 27.06.2025. Statement of respondent No.2 has been recorded where she confirmed the compromise with petitioners. She confirmed that this compromise has been effected voluntarily, without any undue influence or coercion and she has no objection regarding quashing of FIR.

4. Petitioners Kamaljeet Singh, Surinder Kaur and Bikramjit Singh also confirmed this fact in their joint statement. Statement of SI Surjeet Singh is also recorded who confirmed that petitioners are not involved or declared as proclaimed offenders in any other criminal case.

Status report filed by learned State counsel is taken on record.

5. Therefore, from the report of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Malerkotla, it is clear that compromise has been effected between the parties without any undue influence or coercion. They have mutually settled all their claims arisen from matrimonial dispute. They have decided to part ways by filing joint petition under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act. Matter has



been settled in Rs.12,00,000/- out of which Rs.6,00,000/- were to be paid by petitioner No.1 to complainant/respondent No.2 at the time of recording of first motion statements and balance amount of Rs.6,00,000/- will be paid at the time of recording of second motion statements. Thereafter, they will be able to live independently in peace and harmony. No purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings.

6. Gainful reference can be made to the judgment of Larger Bench of Five Judges of this High Court cited in **2007(3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 1052 tilted as Kulwinder Singh and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab and Anr.,** where it was explained that ‘there can never be any hard and fast category which can be prescribed to enable the court to exercise its power under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. The only principle that can be laid down is the one which has been incorporated in the section itself i.e. to prevent abuse of the process of any court or to secure the ends of justice.’

7. Therefore, by relying upon the ratio of the aforesaid judgment, no purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings. Considering these facts, the petition filed by the petitioners is accepted and FIR No.111, dated 16.09.2024, registered under Sections 85, 115(2) and 3(5) of BNS, at Police Station Amargarh, District Malerkotla (Annexure P-1), are quashed qua petitioners.

8. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

23.07.2025
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No