



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

131

CWP-13666-2025

Date of Decision: 13.05.2025

SUNITA AND OTHERS

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioners.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners on parity with the similar situated persons who have been allotted fresh stations vide orders dated 26.09.2024 and 30.04.2025, Annexures P-5 and P-9, respectively.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that grievance raised herein is pending for appropriate decision before the respondents. At this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case the second respondent is directed to decide the pending representation, dated 20.12.2024, Annexure P-7, in a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State. Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.2 and submits that the pending representation, dated 20.12.2024, will be decided by the second respondent/State Project Director, Haryana School Shiksha Pariyojna



Parishad, by passing a speaking/reasoned order in accordance with law within a period of three months.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioners.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

13.05.2025
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>