



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

279

CWP-16698-2022 (O&M)

Decided on :26.03.2025

RAJESH KUMAR

. .Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate,
Ms. Sangeeta Yadav, Advocate and
Ms. Deepika, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Abhimanyu Pratap Singh Sekhon, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

Ms. Shubreet Kaur, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the benefits for which the present petition has been filed has been given to the petitioner by the respondents but, the interest on the delayed payment has not been made, hence, liberty be given to the petitioner to approach the respondents by way of representation so as to claim the interest on the delayed release of payment.

2. Keeping in view the totality of circumstances, the present petition is disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

3. Pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

26.03.2025*Riya**Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No**Whether Reportable: Yes/No*