



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

291

CRM-M-28960-2024  
Date of decision: 11.02.2025

DIVYANSH VERMA ....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR  
\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Abhimanyu Balyan, Advocate and  
Mr. Raina Godara, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Vatsal Raj, Advocate with  
Mr. A.S. Panghal, Advocate for respondent No.2.  
\*\*\*\*\*

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned State counsel, at the very outset submits that the present petition is not maintainable as only the complainant has been impleaded as a respondent, whereas, two of the injured namely Meenakshi and Vikas are not impleaded as respondents, who are the victims and their consent is necessary for compounding the offence.
2. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition and seeks liberty to file a fresh petition after impleading all the accused and stakeholders.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)  
JUDGE

11.02.2025  
Amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No  
Whether reportable : Yes / No