



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CM No. 8272-CWP of 2025 in/and  
Civil Writ Petition No. 13694 of 2025 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 28.05.2025

Jaipal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate,  
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana  
for respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

Mr. H.S. Gill, Advocate  
for respondent No. 3-HUDA.

\*\*\*\*

**HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)**

**CM No. 8272-CWP of 2025**

Prayer in the present application moved on behalf of the applicant-petitioner, is for preponing the date of hearing of main case from 28.07.2025 to an earlier date.

Notice of the application.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2, whereas Mr. H.S. Gill, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3. They have no objection against the prayer made in the application.

In view of the above as well as the contents of application, the same is **allowed**; the hearing of main case is postponed from 28.07.2025 and with the consent of learned counsel for parties, the main case is taken up

today itself.

MAIN CASE

Innocuous prayer made in the present petition is that a reference petition under Section 28-A of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 **(hereinafter to be referred as “the Act”)**, filed at the instance of petitioner-landowner, is not being adjudicated upon by the Land Acquisition Collector, Rohtak **(for short “LAC”)**.

[2] Having heard learned counsel for the parties; without commenting upon the controversy in the matter and in view of the entitlement of petitioner qua the compensation amount, respondent No. 2-LAC is directed to dispose off the petition under Section 28-A of the Act (Annexure P-1), filed at the instance of petitioner-landowner, as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from today.

[3] Disposed off accordingly.

[4] The aforesaid direction is being issued while considering the fact that the petition under Section 28-A of the Act is pending consideration with the authority concerned for the last more than five years.

[5] The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case in any manner.

[6] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

May 28, 2025  
'dk kamra'

( HARKESH MANUJA )  
JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether Speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |