



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12127-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.05.2025

DISHA MSPC, Welfare Society (Mahila Supplementary Production Centre)
and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. V.K. Jindal, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. A.P.S. Shergill, Advocate,
Ms. Navkiran Bajwa, Advocate,
Mr. Robin Bawa, Advocate,
Mr. Saajan Awal, Advocate, and
Mr. Tushar Kush, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Senior DAG, Punjab.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of order dated 04.05.2016 (Annexure P-6) vide which the earnest money of Rs. one lac per Self Help Group (SHG) deposited by the petitioners for 20 districts has been returned.

1.1. Apart from quashing the aforesaid order dated 04.05.2016, the other prayer made by the petitioners is that the tender process should be initiated, conducted and concluded in terms of Supplementary Nutrition (Under ICDS) Rules, 2015, Supplementary Nutrition (Under ICDS) Rules, 2017 and the directions issued by Apex Court vide order dated 12.01.2017



(Annexure P-16) rendered in Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No. 19064/2016, titled **“Jayshree Mahila Bachat GAT and others Vs. Union of India and others”**.

2. The impugned order dated 04.05.2016 is an innocuous order, by which the earnest money deposited is being returned to the petitioners, because the bids that were received did not squarely fall within the parameters of the aforesaid scheme and, therefore, a policy decision was taken to conduct the work of SHG by Government agencies.

2.1 Since it is not disputed at the Bar that after acceptance of the bid, no agreement was executed before passing the impugned order, no right emanates to the petitioners.

3. However, learned counsel for the State of Punjab submits that the prevailing policy of the Central Government read with the State Policy shall be followed, as and when tenders are called again.

4. With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands disposed of.

5. All the pending applications also stand disposed of.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

May 19, 2025
narotam

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No