



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.205+222

Date of Decision:29.11.2025
RFA-2383-2005 (O&M)
...Appellant

1.
UNION OF INDIA

Versus

RAGHBIR SINGH & ORS.

....Respondents

2.
UNION OF INDIA

Versus

RFA-2455-2005 (O&M)
...Appellant

SARDUL SINGH AND ORS

....Respondents

3.
UNION OF INDIA

Versus

RFA-2456-2005 (O&M)
...Appellant

LAKHA SINGH AND ORS

....Respondents

4.
UNION OF INDIA

Versus

RFA-2474-2005 (O&M)
...Appellant

LAKHBIR SINGH

....Respondent

5.
UNION OF INDIA

Versus

RFA-2475-2005 (O&M)
...Appellant

AVTAR SINGH

....Respondent

6.
UNION OF INDIA

Versus

RFA-2476-2005 (O&M)
...Appellant

SARWAN SINGH

....Respondent

7.
UNION OF INDIA

Versus

RFA-2534-2005 (O&M)
...Appellant

KASHMIR SINGH AND ORS

....Respondents


8.
UNION OF INDIA

Versus

RFA-2543-2005 (O&M)
...Appellant

BALWANT KAUR AND ORS.

....Respondents



9.		RFA-2544-2005 (O&M)
UNION OF INDIA		...Appellant
	Versus	
SAHDU SINGH		Respondent
10.		RFA-2545-2005 (O&M)
UNION OF INDIA		...Appellant
	Versus	
PAL SINGH AND ORS.		Respondents
11.		RFA-2546-2005 (O&M)
UNION OF INDIA		...Appellant
	Versus	
GURMUKH SINGH		Respondent
12.		RFA-2788-2005 (O&M)
UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.		...Appellants
	Versus	
AJAIB SINGH AND ORS.		Respondents
13.		RFA-2789-2005 (O&M)
UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.		...Appellants
	Versus	
PURO		Respondent
14.		RFA-2790-2005 (O&M)
UNION OF INDIA		...Appellant
	Versus	
BACHAN SINGH AND ORS		Respondents
15.		RFA-2791-2005 (O&M)
UNION OF INDIA		...Appellant
	Versus	
BACHAN SINGH		Respondent
16.		RFA-2857-2005 (O&M)
UNION OF INDIA		...Appellant
	Versus	
SALWINDER KAUR & ORS.		Respondents
17.		RFA-2858-2005 (O&M)
UNION OF INDIA		...Appellant
	Versus	
PAL SINGH & ORS.		Respondents



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present:- Mr. Vishal Garg, Advocate
for the appellant(s).

Mr. Arihant Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Kanish Jindal, Advocate
for the respondent(s).

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. A perusal of the record shows that the appeals preferred by the respondent/landowners relating to the same acquisition proceedings, which commenced vide notifications dated 26.06.1987 and 03.07.1987 issued under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, were partly allowed by this Court while dismissing the appeals filed by the Union of India, vide judgment dated 29.08.2025 passed in RFA-266-2004 (and other connected cases).
2. In view of the aforesaid decision, the present appeals preferred by the appellant—Union of India are also dismissed in the same terms.
3. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

29.11.2025

Anu

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No