



292 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26699-2025

Date of decision: 14.07.2025

PRINCE

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Navmohit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Mansi Verma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS seeking quashing of FIR No.14 dated 29.01.2023, registered at Police Station Dhand, District Kaithal under Sections 279 and 337 IPC (Section 338 IPC added later on) and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise dated 07.05.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 19.05.2025 :-

"The present petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS for quashing of FIR No.14 dated 29.01.2023, registered at Police Station Dhand, District Kaithal under Sections 279, 337 IPC (Section 338 IPC added later on), on the basis of a compromise deed (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

The parties are alleged to have effected a compromise, as per the compromise deed, dated 07.05.2025 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Aashish Bishnoi, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1-State and seeks time to file reply.



Ms. Mansi Verma, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and filed her power of attorney in Court today, which is taken on record and she confirms about factum of compromise between the parties.

Copies of the petition be handed over to learned counsel for the respondents during the course of the day.

The parties are directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate up-to 31.05.2025 for recording of their statements. After recording the statements of all the accused, victim, complainant and injured if any, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate shall send a report to this Court on the following facts well before the next date of hearing:-

I. Whether a genuine compromise has been arrived at between all the affected parties

II. Whether the complainant, all the injured/victim and all the accused are party to the compromise?

III Whether during investigation, any additional accused has been added and he/she is a party to the compromise?

IV Whether any accused is a Proclaimed Offender?

V Whether after the registration of the FIR any offence was added or deleted during investigation?

VI Whether investigation is pending against any of the accused or any accused has been declared as innocent.

Adjourned to 14.07.2025 for awaiting the report of the trial Court and reply by the State.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 46***, and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in



CRM-M-26699-2025

3

Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.14 dated 29.01.2023, registered at Police Station Dhand, District Kaithal under Sections 279 and 337 IPC (Section 338 IPC added later on) (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

July 14, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |