

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:072912



245

CRM-M-26233-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.05.2025.

Jagjit Singh @ Pappu

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J.

Status report by way of an affidavit of Preetinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Valtaha, Camp at Bhikhiwind, District Tarn Taran filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, in compliance of order dated 14.05.2025 passed by this Court, is taken on record.

It has been mentioned in para No.3 of the status report that the facts of the present petition were verified by the Station House Officer, Police Station Valtaha, District Tarn Taran. After verification, it emerged on record that when FIR No.35 dated 27.04.2025, under Section 21(b) of NDPS Act, has been registered at Police Station Valtaha, District Tarn Taran against the accused namely Amandeep Singh @ Saini as 10 grams of heroin was recovered from his conscious possession. He was interrogated in the aforesaid case and suffered the disclosure statement before the Investigating Officer to the effect that on 27.04.2025, the heroin which had been recovered from him was purchased by him from Jagjit Singh @ Pappu son

of Satwant Singh, i.e. the petitioner and they both were working together and used to supply some quantity of heroin and remaining was consumed by him for which he was getting commission and on the basis of the said statement of Amandeep Singh @ Saini, the petitioner has been nominated in the said case under Section 29 of NDPS Act vide DDR No.18 dated 28.04.2025, incorporated in Roznamcha at Police Station Valtaha, District Tarn Taran. It is alleged that the petitioner is wanted in the aforesaid FIR and in this regard the regular raids are being conducted at his house as well as other suspicious places, where he could hide himself, by the local police in order to apprehend the petitioner. Besides that the petitioner is also involved in three other cases details of which has been mentioned in para No.6 of the status report. It is further alleged that local Police is doing its duty as per law of the land and in a fair manner without any pressure and there is no lapse on the part of the local police in the present petition.

Keeping in view the aforesaid status report, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

27.05.2025.

<i>Komal</i>	Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
	Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No