



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

227

CWP-21753-2011(O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.08.2025

JAI DEV BEHAL

....Petitioner

VERSUS

PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, LDH AND ORS

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. D.S. Sidhu, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Bali, Advocate for the petitioners.

None for the respondents.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has informed this Court, that the petitioner is no more in touch with his office, therefore, he pleads no instructions. Moreover, he has not taken any steps so far to serve the un-served respondents no.2 & 3.

2. In view of the stand taken by the counsel for the petitioner, this Court is left with no other option, but to dismiss the petition for want of prosecution.

3. However, the liberty is reserved for the petitioner to get the instant *lis* revived by filing an apt application in case the grievance still survives.

4. **Dismissed for non-prosecution.**

11.08.2025
Deepak Patwal

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable	Yes/No