



**140 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4984-2025

DATE OF DECISION: 15.05.2025

RAJDEEP KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Ramandeep, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (ORAL)

[1] The prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of direction to respondents No. 2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 6, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

[2] The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1-Rajdeep Kaur, aged about 21 years and petitioner No.2-Avtar Singh, aged about 27 years have got married on 06.05.2025 according to 'Sikh' rites and ceremonies against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 6, who are the parents and uncle, respectively, of petitioner No.1. The marriage certificate is annexed as Annexure P-3. However, they are apprehending threat from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6. On 07.05.2025, the petitioners feeling imminent danger to their life and liberty approached respondent No. 2-the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, and a



written representation, dated 07.05.2025 (Annexure P-4) has been submitted but till date no police protection has been provided to the petitioners and they are facing constant threat from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 6.

[3] Notice of motion.

[4] On the asking of the Court, Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, D.A.G., Punjab, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

[5] In view of the facts of the present case, this Court feels that issuance of notice to private respondents No. 4 to 6 would unnecessarily delay the proceedings, as such, issuance of notice to respondents No. 4 to 6 is dispensed with.

[6] Keeping in view the innocuous prayer made, seeking written response from respondents No. 1 to 3 is also dispensed with.

[7] In support of petitioners being major they have filed the photocopies of their Aadhar Cards and in support of the averments made in the petition wherein petitioner No.1-Rajdeep Kaur has alleged her date of birth as 13.12.2004 and petitioner No.2-Avtar Singh has alleged his date of birth as 07.04.1998. Apart from this, copy of the marriage certificate and the representation dated 07.05.2025 (Annexure P-4) given to respondents No.2-the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, have also been placed on record.

[8] In view of this, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala,



to look into the representation dated 07.05.2025 (Annexure P-4) qua threat perception and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that lives and liberty of petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents No. 4 to 6 or any other person.

[9] This order may not be construed as an opinion regarding validity of the marriage alleged to have been solemnized by the petitioners.

[10] Further, it is made clear that if any of the averments made in the petition is found to be incorrect, specifically with regard to age of the petitioners or the petitioners being in any prohibited relationship to each other, or as regards their previous marital status, this order shall not be construed to be a bar on proceedings to be initiated as per law.

MAY 15, 2025
nitin

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking	Yes
Whether Reportable	No