

2025:PHHC:071577



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1334

CWP-22492-2010 (O & M)
Date of decision: 26.05.2025

Naresh Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Padam Kant Dwivedi, Advocate and
Ms. Mansi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel submits that in the disciplinary proceedings that were initiated against the petitioner, he was, vide order dated 20.12.1999, Annexure P-7, issued censure, which is a minor punishment, based on which he was not promoted to the post of Inspector, while one Karnail Singh, Sub Inspector, Punjab Roadways, Hoshiarpur, was also awarded the similar punishment was promoted as per the information supplied to him under the Right to Information Act, Annexure P-14, dated 18.11.2009 and likewise even Gopal Singh and Nek Chand, were promoted and granted the benefits, Annexures P-5 and P-6. The petitioner is entitled to the same relief and even salary for the period of suspension in terms of the judgments in the case of **Y.P.Sehgal vs. State of Punjab** 1992(2) SCT 179 and **Jagat Narain vs. Food Corp. of**

India and others 2010(2) SCT 79, and thus, prays his case be re-considered taking into consideration the aforesaid in a time bound manner.

2. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the respondents would not be averse to have a relook at the matter and decide afresh, taking note of the afore submissions and judgments, within a period of 4 months, uninfluenced by the order(s) impugned in the present case, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

3. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to him and shall contain reasons, whereupon he shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

26.05.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No