



105

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27100-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.05.2025

Gurpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. PKS Phoolka, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.526 dated 04.10.2022, registered at Police Station City Mandi Dabwali, District Sirsa, under Section 174-A of the IPC, 1860.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that initially, FIR No.296 dated 24.09.2016, was registered at Police Station City, Mandi Dabwali, District Sirsa, under Section 13 of the Public Gambling Act, 1867. The petitioner was misinformed that he had been exonerated, however, later on, it came to his knowledge that vide judgment dated 26.07.2022 (Annexure P-3), co-accused, namely, Hans Raj and Suresh Kumar, who had admitted their guilt, were convicted by learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Dabwali, whereas, the petitioner has been declared a 'proclaimed person' in the said FIR vide order dated 16.07.2022 and consequently, present FIR No.526 (*supra*) has been registered against the petitioner under Section 174-A IPC.

3. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner has already been granted the benefit of bail in FIR No.296 (*supra*) by the



Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 14.05.2025 passed in CRM-M-26177-2025, titled as ***Gurpreet Singh Vs. State of Haryana and another***. A copy of the said order submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner is taken on record. It is submitted that the petitioner is ready to join the proceedings.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Aashish Bishnoi, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has confirmed the above factual aspect of the matter.

6. In view of the submissions made on behalf of learned counsel for the parties; keeping in view the nature of the offence; and considering the fact that petitioner has already been granted bail in FIR No.296 (*supra*) by the Coordinate Bench vide order dated 14.05.2025, without making any comment on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed subject to the following conditions:-

“(i) That the petitioner shall surrender before the Investigating Officer within a period of 10 days and thereafter as and when required and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail upon his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. However, the same shall also be subject to the deposit of a sum of Rs.10,000/- as costs of litigation with the PGIMS Rohtak, to be used for care of the poor patients.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

(iii) That the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Trial Court/CJM concerned.

(iv) That the petitioner shall furnish an undertaking before the CJM, Sirsa that he shall not indulge in any such kind of activity in future.



7. Liberty is reserved in favour of the State to move for cancellation of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated in this order and under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

19.05.2025

[HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN]
JUDGE

atulsethi

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No