



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 118)

CWP No.13565 of 2025

Date of decision: 13.05.2025

Glenview Resorts Kasauli LLP Kasauli Resorts

.....Petitioner

Versus

Deputy Commissioner of Income tax, Central Circle 1, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI


Present : Mr. Salil Kapoor, Advocate and
Mr. Sumit Lalchandani, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yogesh Putney, Senior Standing Counsel and
Mr. Vaibhav Gupta, Junior Standing Counsel for the respondent.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

(1) Challenge made through the instant petition is to the notice dated 28.03.2025 (Annexure P-2) issued to the petitioner by the respondent under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act, 1961. The primary ground of challenge raised by the petitioner is that the impugned notice has been issued by the Jurisdictional Assessing Officer which could not have been done because in terms of the notification dated 29.03.2022 (Annexure P-3), issued by the Ministry of Finance, Government of India, the impugned notice could have been issued only by way of faceless assessment.

**CWP No.13565 of 2025****[2]**

(2) In support of his afore submission, learned counsel for the petitioner places reliance on the following two judgments of this Court :-

- (i) **CWP No.15745 of 2024 - Jatinder Singh Bhangu Vs. Union of India and others, decided on 19.07.2024.**
- (ii) **CWP No.21509 of 2023 - Jasjit Singh Vs. Union of India and others, decided on 29.07.2024.**

(3) Learned counsel for the respondent does not dispute the fact that the case of the petitioner is covered in his favour by the law laid down through the aforesaid two judgments rendered by two different Co-ordinate Benches of this Court in ***Jatinder Singh Bhangu's*** and ***Jasjit Singh's*** cases (supra).

(4) In the light of the above, in terms of the law laid down in ***Jatinder Singh Bhangu's*** and ***Jasjit Singh's*** cases (supra), the impugned notice dated 28.03.2025 (Annexure P-2) issued by the Jurisdictional Assessing Officer, is hereby quashed with liberty to the respondent to proceed against the petitioner in accordance with law.

(5) The petition is allowed in the above terms.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

13.05.2025
sunil yadav

(LAPITA BANERJI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No