



1

CWP-13488-2025 (O&M)

103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13488-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.05.2025

KARAMJEET SINGH**.. Petitioner****Vs.****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****..Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: Ms. Honey Passi, Advocate and
Mr. Sajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl, A.G., Punjab.

...

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE, (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed essentially aggrieved by the fact that despite the order of freezing passed on 26.12.2024 having been set aside for having been declined to be confirmed by the Competent Authority and Administrator SAFEM, the SHO, Police Station Jaiton, District Faridkot is proceeding as if the said order of freezing stands confirmed.

2. Learned counsel for State of Punjab has drawn the attention of this Court to the short reply dated 25.05.2025, filed by way of affidavit of Sandeep Kumar Wadehra, PPS, Superintendent of Police (Investigation), Faridkot, District Faridkot, which is taken on record. The reply discloses the contents of paragraph 5, which are revealing and are reproduced below.

“That said order was received at Police Station Jaito on 09.02.2025 in a sealed envelop and said order was entered in register A of police station Jaito at Entry No.269. However, noting Munshi of police Station Jaito inadvertently noted said order as confirmation U/s 68-F(2) of NDPS Act of Karamjit Singh son of Sh. Pal Singh, resident of near Sua, Village Rameana, Tehsil Jaito, District Faridkot at Serial No.269, that due to said wrong noting, it reflected as order of freezing had been confirmed

**CWP-13488-2025 (O&M)**

and Sukhdeep Singh, PPS, DSP, Sub Division Jaito, Charanjit Lamba, PPS, DSP, PBI & NDPS and SHO, Police Station Jaito without going through the order in detail by only Ketying upon the entry in the register of police station initiated further action against the property of petitioner.”

3. From the above, it is evident that the mistake has now been rectified at the level of the police and the show cause notices have been issued for initiating disciplinary action for the lapse committed.

4. This Court, therefore, while reserving the right of the petitioner to claim compensation for damage to reputation caused by the publication of the said news on social media, disposes of this petition with the direction to the respondent-functionary of the State of Punjab to file a compliance report within a period of 60 days as to the action which has been initiated against the erring police officials.

5. If no report is filed within 60 days or anything is found a miss in the compliance report, the matter shall be listed in IOIN category.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

28.05.2025

Jasmine Kaur

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes	No
Yes	No