

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:063525



119

CRM-M-25926-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.05.2025.

Vanita and another

...Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. N.K. Banka, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 is for directing respondent No.2 to look into the complaint dated 02.05.2025 (Annexure P3) of the petitioners and take appropriate decision in the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that petitioners No.1 and 2 are real brother and sister. Petitioner No.1 is having plot No.48 measuring about 225.05 sq. yards in Sector 86, Preet City, Mohali. In the year 2022, respondent No.5 along with his brother namely Ravinder Singh approached the petitioners for purchasing the said plot and deal was finalized for Rs.61.50 Lakhs with earnest money to be paid on or before 15.03.2022 and final date for executing the sale was fixed for 15.04.2022. He further contended that as trust had been created between the

parties, the deal was continued despite date 15.03.2022 had passed away. It was decided that Rs.49,00,000/- would be paid through bank transactions and balance amount would be paid at the time of execution of the sale deed by the purchaser. Rs.49,00,000/- were paid as per the understanding, however, balance amount was not paid as the same was to be paid at the time of execution of the sale deed. He urged that respondent No.5 and his brother Ravinder Singh came to petitioner No.1 on 28.12.2022 and prayed that one receipt regarding payment be prepared as it was required for raising loan. Thus receipt of Rs.49,00,000/- was prepared, whereas it is quite clear from the first token receipt that the deal had been struck for Rs.61,50,000/-. He also contended that the petitioners are ready to execute the sale deed whenever respondent No.5 would be ready to pay the balance amount, but by taking advantage of receipt of Rs.49,00,000/-(Annexure P2) as full and final payment, respondent No.4 is pressurizing the petitioners for execution of the sale deed. For this purpose respondent No.4 has joined hands with respondent No.5, and are harassing the petitioners by calling to the Police Station.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he would be satisfied if the representation dated 02.05.2025 (Annexure P-3), which had already been moved before respondent No.2 is looked into.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice and submitted that she has not objection if the aforesaid representation dated 02.05.2025 (Annexure P-3) is looked into.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2—Senior Superintendent of Police, SSP Office, SAS Nagar, Mohali, to look into the representation and to take appropriate action, if any required at the earliest.

Disposed of.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

13.05.2025.

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No