

**CWP-12847-2023****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

251**CWP-12847-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.11.2025****Happy Kamboj**

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.**State of Punjab and others**

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present: - Mr.Gurnoor Singh Sandhu, for the petitioner.

Mr.Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Inderpal Singh, Advocate, for
Mr.Nitin Kaushal, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, petitioner has challenged the order dated 10.05.2022 (Annexure P7) issued by respondent No.2 vide which candidates for the post of Ward Attendant were selected despite the fact that the petitioner was higher in merit than the selected candidates.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner fairly submits that he does not want to press for quashing of the order dated 10.05.2022 (Annexure P7). However, he submits that in para No.10 of the reply on merits filed on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2-State, it has been mentioned that process of filling of vacant posts in the BC category from the waiting list, is already under process. He submits that liberty may be granted to the petitioner to file a representation to the respondents to



CWP-12847-2023

consider his case in the light of the stand taken by the respondents-State in para No.10 of the reply on merits of the abovesaid reply.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents do not object to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, in case a representation is filed by the petitioner, the respondents are directed to consider and decide the same within a period of two months from the date of submission of the representation, in accordance with law.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

13.11.2025
Vivek

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes
Whether reportable? No