



117 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13434-2025

Date of Decision : 12-05-2025

BIKRAMJIT SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate for the petitioners.

 Mr. T.P.S Chawla, Sr. DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that grievance being raised by the petitioners is that the arrears DA instalment for the period starting from 01.01.2012 to 31.12.2014 has not been paid to the petitioners despite the fact that the Department had withdrawn the said benefit admissible to the employees from the Treasury Officer, but the same was never paid to the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the said allowance be paid to the petitioners along with arrears and interest.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the grievance being raised by the petitioner have already been raised in the legal notice dated 24.03.2025, copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-4 but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents

to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4 Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S Chawla, Sr. DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 24.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondent-State, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

12-05-2025
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO