



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

265

CRR-4179-2017

Date of decision: 12.08.2025

SHER SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

OM PARKASH

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Tarun Hooda, Advocate for
Mr. K.P. Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. Present revision petition has been filed by the petitioner challenging the order dated 21.09.2017, passed by learned ASJ, Rewari, be set aside and the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 03.03.2015/04.03.2015 passed by the learned trial Court be upheld by allowing the present revision petition.
2. Counsel contends that vide criminal complaint case No.03 of 2008/2015 dated 11.01.2008/30.01.2015, Om Parkash (respondent herein), Ram Chander and Babu Lal faced proceedings in the complaint filed by petitioner (complainant) Sher Singh.
3. He further contends that accused Om Parkash convicted for committing offence under Section 420 and 120-B of IPC, vide judgment dated 03.03.2015, passed by Judicial Magistrate First Class, Rewari. Accused Ram Chander had expired and proceedings against him was dropped vide order dated 31.01.2013 and third accused Babu Ram was never summoned to face the



- 2-

proceedings.

4. Against the conviction under Section 420 and 120-B of IPC, one appeal was instituted by Om Parkash and vide judgment dated 21.09.2017, he was acquitted by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rewari. Therefore, instant revision petition was filed by the petitioner-Sher Singh, being complainant in the case.

5. He also submits that during the pendency of the present revision petition before this Court, respondent-Om Parkash has also expired and on that account present petition is worth disposal, as same being rendered infructuous.

6. Disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

12.08.2025
amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No