

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

269

RSA No.1561 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.12.2025

SDO OP Sub Div. DHBVN, Gothra & Anr.

.... Appellants

Versus

Amar Singh

.... Respondent

BEFORE: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Kewal Krishan, Advocate for
Mr. S.K. Mahajan, Advocate for the appellants.


Ms. Meenakshi Saroop, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Yadav, Advocate for the respondent.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. The appellants-defendants are in second appeal against the concurrent judgments and decrees rendered by both the Courts below whereby the suit for declaration and permanent injunction filed by the respondent-plaintiff was decreed.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the appellants submits that the question of law involved in the present appeal as to whether jurisdiction of the Civil Court is barred in view of Section 145 of the Electricity Act, 2003, has since been decided by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in **RSA-4181-2016**, titled as '**Mahesh Kumar vs. Sub Divisional Officer and Another**' vide judgment dated 14.05.2025.

3. In view of the above, the present second appeal is **allowed**, and the suit filed by the respondent-plaintiff stands dismissed on the



ground of jurisdiction. However, the respondent-plaintiff is at liberty to take recourse to the appropriate remedy as available, in accordance with law.

4. It is made clear that any evidence recorded/observations made in the impugned judgments and decrees would not operate as *res judicata* in any subsequent proceedings initiated by the respondent-plaintiff.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

01.12.2025
amit

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>