

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:065374



107

CRWP-4855-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.05.2025.

Sarabjit Kaur and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. Mohit Shukla, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-674-2025

Prayer made in the application is for placing on record document for proper and necessary adjudication of the present case Annexure P6 i.e. representation dated 13.05.2025.

Application is allowed. Document Annexure P6 is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents No.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 – Sarabjit Kaur, aged 28 years and petitioner No.2 – Rohit Kumar Bansal, aged 30 years and have got married on 07.05.2025, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as

respondents No.4 to 6. The copies of Aadhaar card of petitioners (Annexures P-1 and P-2) have been placed on record. Copy of marriage certificate as well as the marriage photographs have also been placed on record as Annexure P-3 and P-4.

It has been further submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, the petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 13.05.2025 (Annexure P-6) at the earliest and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

16.05.2025
Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No