



235-CRM-M-25600-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25600-2025

Date of decision: 20.05.2025

Rajinder Singh

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.D. Sachdev, DAG, Punjab

Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
104	15.10.2024	109, 118(1), 118(2), 190, 191(3) of BNS 25 of the Arms Act	Dhariwal, District Gurdaspur Punjab

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 12.05.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. During the course of hearing on 12.05.2025, following order has been passed: -



235-CRM-M-25600-2025

‘2. It is, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case on account of family rivalry. He contends that no specific overt act is attributed to the petitioner and in the meanwhile, parties have effected the settlement dated 20.03.2025 (Annexure P-5) with the intervention of respectables. He contends that both the complainant and the petitioner happened to be the member of the same family.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the case of the petitioner is at par with the co-accused, namely Jagjit Singh @ Jagjeet Singh, who has since been granted anticipatory bail vide order dated 07.05.2025 (Annexure P-9), ‘Jagjit Singh @ Jagjeet Singh vs. State of Punjab and another’. He contends that the petitioner is not having any criminal antecedents. Hence, the instant petition.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Sr. DAG Punjab, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent and does not dispute the factual matrix of the case and admits the fact that the case of the petitioner is at par with the aforesaid co-accused, who has since been granted anticipatory bail vide order dated 07.05.2025 (*supra*). He prays for time to file the status report/reply in the matter.

6. Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate, has entered appearance on behalf of respondent No. 2 and filed his power of attorney to assist learned State counsel in the matter. The same is taken on record. He has admitted the *factum* of compromise having been effected between the parties vide Annexure P-5 (*supra*) without any force or coercion. He has categorically stated to have instructions from his client to say that he has no objection in grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Adjourned to 20.05.2025.



235-CRM-M-25600-2025

8. *Needful be done well before the date fixed with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.*

9. *In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS).*

10. *Investigating Officer (concerned) to remain present in Court along with relevant records on the date fixed.*

4. Learned State counsel on instructions received from the Investigating Officer, informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 12.05.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 12.05.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS). Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.



235-CRM-M-25600-2025

6. The petition stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

20.05.2025
preeti

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |