



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

204

CRM-M-25457-2025
Date of decision: 28.05.2025

Verinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present : Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate, and
Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate, with
Mr. Garvit Mittal, Advocate, for the complainant.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. This is a petition for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 in FIR No.253 dated 19.04.2025 under Sections 406 and 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that it is the case of the prosecution that the complainant had entered into an agreement to sell with the petitioner and had paid Rs.1,12,50,000/- to him but the sale deed in favour of complainant had not been executed. He further submits that the agreement of sale was conditional and petitioner shall give possession after receiving the LOI. He further submits that he may be granted 4 months time to get LOI.

3. Reply by way of affidavit of DSP, Ladwa, Kurukshetra, dated 21.05.2025, has been filed on behalf of respondent-State. The same is taken on record.



4. Learned counsel for the complainant and State submit that the LOI in question does not exist at all and petitioner is not in a position to produce any such LOI.

5. In the light of the above, no ground is made out to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and the present petition is dismissed as such.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

28.05.2025

anil	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes / No