

CRM-M-25444-2025
104

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25444-2025
Decided on: 12.05.2025

Rohit ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Edward Augustine George, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
436	28.10.2023	Kherki Daula, District Gurugram	147, 148, 149, 285, 307, 323, 427, 506, 212 IPC and 25(1-B)(a), 27(2) of Arms Act

1. The petitioner apprehending arrest in the FIR captioned above has come before this Court seeking anticipatory bail under Section 482 BNSS.
2. In para 19 of the petition, petitioner has mentioned that three more cases are pending against the petitioner, however counsel for the State submits that total four cases are pending against the petitioner, out of which one is under Section 307 IPC which is not mentioned by the petitioner.
3. At this stage, petitioner’s counsel submits that non-mentioning of complete criminal history was un-intentional and it is due to lack of communication and lack of awareness of petitioner’s family. He seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh after disclosing complete criminal history.
4. Given above, the petition is **disposed of as withdrawn**, with the liberty to file a fresh after disclosing all criminal antecedents relating to any part of India or abroad. It is clarified that this Court shall not draw any adverse inference against the petitioner for non-disclosing of criminal history. It is further clarified that power of attorney filed in the present case shall suffice and there shall be no need to file fresh power of attorney in the



CRM-M-25444-2025
fresh petition, if filed and Registry shall not raise any objection. All pending applications,
if any, stand closed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

12.05.2025
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.