



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(203)

CWP No. 12752 of 2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 21.04.2025

Suman Bala

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vinay Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate, Legal Aid Counsel
for respondent No. 4.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the parties submit that the issue raised in the present petition is with regard to the question that whether the widow-daughter-in-law can use the matrimonial house as her accommodation or not.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner-daughter-in-law submits that respondent No. 4-senior citizen is not living in the premises in question any more and is rather living in Ludhiana with her daughter.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No. 4-senior citizen submits that after the passing of the impugned order dated 31.01.2023 (Annexure P-5), there was an agreement upon which parties had reached in the Panchayat where, the parties had agreed that out of the total accommodation of 05 rooms in the premises in question, two rooms will be used by the respondent



No. 4-senior citizen for living and all the parties will live harmoniously in the said premises so as not to create any fear in the mind of any of the party.

4. Learned counsel for the parties submit that the said agreement upon which the parties had reached in the Panchayat, will be given effect to.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has no objection in case, respondent No. 4-senior citizen comes and lives in the two rooms out of the 05 rooms present in the property.

6. Learned counsel for respondent No. 4-senior citizen submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the respondent No. 4-senior citizen undertakes not to implement the impugned order dated 31.01.2023 (Annexure P-5) which has been passed in favour of the respondent No. 4-senior citizen which has been impugned by the petitioner-daughter-in-law in the present petition.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner-daughter-in-law submits that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondent No. 4-senior citizen, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

8. Ordered accordingly.

9. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 21, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No