



203-5

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10223-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 23rd July, 2025

*RERHI FARHI ROJGAR BACHAO SANAGARH SAMITI, PANCHKULA
AND THROUGH ITS DESIGNATED OFFICE BEARERS*

.....Petitioner(s)

V/s.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA**
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present Mr. Rajiv Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioners.

 Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

 Mr. P.K. Dwivedi, Advocate for respondents No. 2 and 3.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. This Writ Petition is tagged along with CWP-19495-2015, which is decided by us vide order of the even date wherein it is held as under:-

“9. Once the statute clearly provides for constitution of a District Street Vendors Dispute Redressal Committee, it is expected that the State of Haryana, would take necessary steps to constitute such committee. In its absence, the street vendors will have no other remedy but to approach this Court. This situation is not desirable when the Act itself provides for a dispute resolution mechanism.

10. In view of the above, this Court directs the respondent no.1-State of Haryana, as well as respondent no.2-Municipal Corporation, Panchkula, to ensure that a committee in terms of the notification dated 08.10.2020, is constituted positively, within a period of 08 weeks from the date of passing of this order.

11. Any violation of the above direction would be viewed seriously.

12. Since the instant writ petition has remained pending since the year 2015, this Court deems it fit and appropriate to dispose it of, with the observation that the respondent authorities shall, scrupulously, comply with the provisions of the Act of 2014, and in

case of any violation of its provisions, the person aggrieved will have the remedy of approaching the District Street Vendors Dispute Redressal Committee which shall deal with such grievance as per law.

13. **Disposed of** accordingly.”

2. In view of the aforesaid, this Writ Petition is also **disposed of** in the aforesaid terms passed in CWP-19495-2015.

3. All pending applications, if any, in this case are disposed of accordingly.

**[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
JUDGE**

**[KULDEEP TIWARI]
JUDGE**

July 23, 2025
Ess Kay

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>