



208/2 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25404-2025

Date of Decision:07.07.2025

Mandeep Kumar @ Kalu and others

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to them in case FIR No.30, dated 28.02.2025, under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 333, 303(2), 324(2), 190, 191(3) of BNS, registered at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1).

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 12.05.2025, this Court had noticed the following contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioners:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners has relied upon an order dated 09.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) passed by this Court, whereby almost similarly placed co-accused, namely, Priyanka @ Rinka has been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has reiterated the submissions and further submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and their custodial interrogation may not be required.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel also submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 12.05.2025 is made absolute. The petitioners shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

6. Pending application(s) stand(s) also disposed of.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

07.07.2025
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No