



208/3 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25941-2025
Date of Decision:07.07.2025

Rohit ...Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab ...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.30, dated 28.02.2025, under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 333, 303(2), 324(2), 190, 191(3) of BNS, registered at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1).
2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 13.05.2025, this Court had noticed the following contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has relied upon the order dated 09.04.2025 passed by this Court in CRM-M-19810-2025, whereby the concession of interim anticipatory bail has been granted to similarly situated co-accused, namely, Priyanka @ Rinka. He submits that the petitioner is at par with her.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the submissions and further submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation may not be required.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 13.05.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

6. Pending application(s) stand(s) also disposed of.

07.07.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No