

2025:PHHC:152157



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

FAO-625-2006 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.11.2025

Sham Kanhiya

...Appellant

Versus

Kali Ram and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: None.

VIKAS SURI, J.(Oral)

1. The present appeal has been filed by the claimant/appellant for enhancement of compensation awarded by the learned Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Panchkula, vide award dated 17.05.2005.
2. Despite intimation to counsel for the appellant, namely Mr. Pawan Sharma, Advocate, through whom the present appeal has been preferred, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.
3. As per office report, notice issued to the appellant has been received back unserved with the report 'not found at the given address'. In the given circumstances, it is safe to infer that the appellant is not serious to pursue the matter.
4. Accordingly, no useful purpose would be served to continue



with the present appeal and the same stands closed.

5. However, the claimant would be at liberty to seek revival in case any grievance still remains.

November 04, 2025
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether Reportable :	Yes / No