

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:072910



229

**CRWP-4740-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.05.2025.**

Nachattar Singh and another	...Petitioners.
Versus	
State of Punjab and others	...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. Armaan Gagneja, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J.

Status report by way of an affidavit of Iqbal Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4, in compliance of order dated 20.05.2025, is taken on record.

It has been mentioned in status report that FIR No.22 dated 22.04.2025, under Sections 96, 137(2), 61(2), BNS, Police Station Lakhewali had been registered against Jashandeep Singh son of Nachhatar Singh @ Satti and Nikka Singh son of Raja Singh and that Tarsem Singh son of Nachhatar Singh also moved one application dated 03.05.2025 against Jashandeep Singh son of Nachhatar Singh @ Satti, Akashdeep Singh @ Nikka son of Raja Singh, Nachhatar Singh @ Satti, Veer Pal Kaur, Jaspreet Kaur, Deepu Kaur, Kalu Kaur, as detailed in para No.5 of the status report. Enquiry of the said complaint was entrusted to ASI Harnek Singh and SI Darshan Singh, SHO, Police Station Lakhewali and when they called both the parties in the police station, the complainant/ respondent No.5- Tarsem Singh, Gurbaj Singh Sarpanch, Jabarjang Singh Panch and Gurdev

Singh Panch residents of Chibranwali came present, but the petitioners did not come present. Subsequently, the petitioners filed the present petition and thereafter both the parties were again summoned by the Police officials for joining the inquiry. The aforesaid persons again came present at the Police Station and got recorded their statements but again the petitioners did not come present to Police Station. Thereafter, ASI Harnek Singh on reaching village Chibranwali, made all possible efforts to join the petitioners into inquiry, but they did not join the inquiry and the house was found locked. It has been further alleged that the allegations as levelled by the petitioners in the present petition are not proved and has also appended copy of report dated 25.05.2025 (Annexure P2) along with the status report. It has been further alleged that son of the petitioners, namely Jashandeep Singh has enticed away the minor daughter of respondent No.5, regarding which the FIR had already been registered and police is making efforts to trace out the minor girl but could not get any clue till date. The petitioners have apprehension of their involvement in the present FIR but till date, their involvement is not proved. It is also alleged that as the petitioners have locked their house and their whereabouts are not known, in such situation the question of extending any threat by respondents No.5 to 8 does not arise.

Keeping in view the aforesaid status report, no directions as prayed vide the present petition are required to be issued and the present petition is disposed of accordingly.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

27.05.2025.

<i>Komal</i>	Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
	Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No