



CRM-M-25612-2025 and other connected cases **1**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

230

CRM-M-25612-2025

Gaganjeet Singh @ Gaggi @ Gagandeep Singh . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab . . . Respondent(s)

230-2

CRM-M-37702-2025

Laddi Singh . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab . . . Respondent(s)

230-3

CRM-M-45696-2025

Jiven Singh @ Jiwan Singh . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab . . . Respondent(s)

230-4

CRM-M-47291-2025

Sehbaz Khan . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab . . . Respondent(s)

Decided on :04.11.2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Himanshu Chhabra, Advocate
for the petitioner(s)
(in CRM-M-45696-2025 and CRM-M-47291-2025)

Mr. N.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner

**CRM-M-25612-2025 and other connected cases****2**

(in CRM-M-25612-2025).

Mr. J.S. Moudgill, ADvocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-37702-2025).

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Nahal, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. By way of this common order, all the aforementioned petitions are being disposed of together, as they arise out of one common FIR.

2. Present petitions have been filed by the petitioners, namely, **Gaganjeet Singh @ Gaggi @ Gagandeep Singh, Laddi Singh, Jiven Singh @ Jiwan Singh**, and **Sehbaz Khan**, seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 02 dated 06.01.2025, registered under Sections 109, 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 351(3), 190, and 191(3) of the BNS (with Sections 117(2) and 238 of the BNS added subsequently) at Police Station Chhajli, District Sangrur.

3. As per the allegations, there are three injured persons in total, namely:

- **Sukhpal**, who sustained seven injuries, two of which are grievous;
- **Avtar Singh**, who sustained eight injuries, four of which are grievous; and
- **Gursewak Singh**, who sustained four injuries, two of which are grievous.

4. Petitioner-**Gaganjeet Singh (in CRM-M-25612-2025)** is alleged to have caused one *gandassi* injury to Sukhpal Singh, which was

**CRM-M-25612-2025 and other connected cases****3**

declared to be simple in nature. **Laddi Singh**, petitioner (in CRM-M-37702-2025), is alleged to have given an iron rod blow to Gursewak, resulting in one grievous injury. **Jiven Singh @ Jiwan Singh**, petitioner (in CRM-M-45696-2025), is attributed with inflicting three injuries with a *garrari* on Avtar Singh and Gursewak Singh, all of which were opined to be simple in nature. **Shehbaz Khan**, petitioner (in CRM-M-47291-2025), is alleged to have inflicted three injuries on Sukhpal with iron rod fitted with *garrari*, one of which was found to be grievous in nature.

5. On previous date of hearing i.e. 20.08.2025 following order was passed:

“1. Learned State counsel has filed status report dated 19.08.2025 and custody certificate, in both the cases, in the Court today and the same are taken on record.

2. It is informed that charges were framed on 14.05.2025 and date fixed before the trial Court for recording of the statement of the witnesses is 30.08.2025.

3. List again on 29.09.2025.

4. Prosecution and trial Court is directed to record the statement of the injured, eye-witnesses at the first instance, before the next date of hearing fixed by this Court.

5. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case. ”

6. In compliance with the directions issued by this Court, a report has been forwarded by the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Sangrur, vide letter No. 452 dated 22.09.2025. As per the said report, there were five injured/eye-witnesses in total, out of whom two

**CRM-M-25612-2025 and other connected cases****4**

have been given up by the prosecution. The remaining three witnesses, i.e., the complainant/injured/eye-witnesses, have already been examined.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that petitioners are in custody for a period of more than nine months. It is contended that the investigation stands concluded and the challan has already been presented before the trial Court. It is further submitted that out of the total number of prosecution witnesses, the material witnesses, including the injured/eye-witnesses, have already been examined. The continued incarceration of the petitioners, in these circumstances, would serve no useful purpose. Accordingly, prayer has been made for grant of regular bail to the petitioners.

8. On the other hand, learned State counsel has filed separate status report in all the aforementioned cases by way of affidavit of Ranbir Singh PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Dirba in Court today. Same are taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper-books.

Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of bail, submitting that the petitioners are involved in causing injuries to the complainant party, some of which have been opined as grievous in nature. The offences alleged are serious and reflect a concerted act on the part of the petitioners. It is further contended that releasing the petitioners at this stage may adversely affect the ongoing trial. Accordingly, praying for dismissal of the present petitions.

**CRM-M-25612-2025 and other connected cases****5**

9. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the material available on record, including the status reports.

10. As informed by learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel, petitioners are in custody for a period of more than nine months. The final conclusion of the trial is likely to take considerable time, as some witnesses are yet to be examined. It has also been submitted that the remaining witnesses are likely to be official witnesses, and therefore, the possibility of the petitioners influencing them appears to be minimal. Taking into consideration that the material witnesses, as recorded by the learned trial Court, have already been examined, therefore, this Court finds merit in the prayer made by the petitioners for grant of regular bail.

11. Consequently, prayer made in the instant petitions is **allowed**. Petitioners are ordered to released on bail, subject to their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

12. Needless to observe that the petitioners shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

13. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis



CRM-M-25612-2025 and other connected cases

6

of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

14. Petitions stand disposed of.
15. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

04.11.2025
Rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No