



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13300-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-**14.05.2025**

DILBAG SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

-. -

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

Present:- Mr. Divyam Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Tanmay Kadian, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana and
Mr. Karan Jindal, AAG, Haryana for the State.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate;
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate;
Ms. Ashna Singh, Advocate;
Ms. Sharvi Dadhwal, Advocate;
Ms. Saanvi Singla, Advocate and
Mr. Arshdeep Singh, Advocate
for respondents-HSVP.

SUDHIR SINGH J.(Oral)

By way of the present writ petition, the petitioner has sought issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents No.3 and 4 to decide the representations dated 02.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) and 23.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already made representations dated 02.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) and 23.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) to the competent authority for redressal of its



grievances, but no action has been taken thereon till date. He further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, if the respondent authorities are directed to deal with its concerns/grievances and pass appropriate order on the said applications.

3. Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana and Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate appear and accept notice on behalf of the respondent-State and respondents-HSVP, respectively and submit that the said representations shall be considered and decided by the competent authorities, in accordance with law.

4. Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos.3 and 4 (competent authorities), to look into the pending representations dated 02.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) and 23.04.2025 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioner and pass an appropriate reasoned order thereon, in accordance with law, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of copy/production of this order.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUDHIR SINGH)
JUDGE

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

14.05.2025
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No