



**CM-1631-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-13555-2024** 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(108) CM-1631-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-13555-2024
Date of Decision : February 06, 2025**

Vinod Kumar .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CM-1631-CWP-2025

Present application has been filed for preponing the date of hearing of the main writ petition i.e. CWP-13555-2024, which now stands adjourned to 24.07.2025.

Notice of the application to the counsel opposite.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, learned Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. He raises no objection for the grant of the prayer as raised in the present application.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which are duly supported by an affidavit, the application is allowed and hearing the main petition i.e CWP-13555-2024 is preponed from 24.07.2025 to today.



**CM-1631-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-13555-2024**

2

CWP-13555-2024

1. The averments put forth by the learned counsel for the petitioner in the present petition is that keeping in view the reply filed by the respondents that the decision taken not to grant the benefit was taken on the basis that instead of original degree, provisional degree was produced to the authorities concerned and thus, to rectify this, the petitioner intends to approach the respondents by filing an appropriate representation by producing the original degree so as the authorities concerned could consider the claim of the petitioner for the grant of promotion with retrospective effect.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any such claim/representation is received at the hands of the petitioner, whereby the original degree is provided, appropriate fresh decision on the said representation will be taken before the retirement of the petitioner so as to ascertain whether the petitioner is entitled for retrospective promotion to the post of Subject Divisional Engineer or not on the ground that the petitioner has subsequently already cleared the test as envisaged by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India while deciding ***Civil Appeal No.17869-17870-2017 titled as Orrisa Lift Irrigation Corp. Ltd. vs. Rabi Sankar Patro and others, decided on 22.01.2018 (Annexure P-4).***

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.



CM-1631-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-13555-2024 **3**

4. Ordered accordingly.

February 06, 2025 **(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**
harsha **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No