



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CRWP-4818-2025
Date of decision: May 14, 2025

VARUN KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, AAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Article 226 for issuing directions to the official respondents No.2 to 5 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members, who apprehend threat at the hands of private respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as soon as the instant petition was filed by the petitioner, the official respondents had restored the security cover given to him in view of the persistent threat perceptions. Learned counsel submits that despite the petitioner facing continuous threats at the hands of the private respondents, the official respondents have not been taking the threat perception seriously and have in a very casual manner, been handling the whole issue.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has submitted that the petitioner has been provided adequate security and it would be ensured that in future also, the said security cover is continued to be provided to the petitioner, in case the threat perception persists.
4. In view of the above, the instant case stands disposed of.

May 14, 2025
Jaspreet Kaur

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No