



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(137)

CWP-13194-2025

Date of Decision : 19.05.2025

Amarjit Singh Dhamotia

...Petitioner

Versus

The Lokpal Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: None for the petitioner.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. On the last date of hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner, had sought an adjournment, to have apt instructions, as this Court, was of the considered opinion, to call upon the record from the Lokpal, Punjab, about the number of complaints, as preferred by the petitioner, and to any of the authorities, in the State of Punjab.
2. On the first call, the matter was passed over. Even, on the second call, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner today in the Court.
3. At this stage, this Court, can safely presume that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant writ petition any longer.
4. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **dismissed for want of prosecution.**

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE**

May 19, 2025

Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No