



CRM-M-29611-2022

1

251

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29611-2022

Date of Decision : 24.04.2025

Subhash Chander

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Parunjeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners

Ms. Aakanksha Gupta, AAG Punjab

Mr. Piyush Khanna, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

KIRTI SINGH, J.(Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.055 dated 01.04.2022, under Section 354-A IPC registered at Police Station Division No. 3, Police Commissionerate Ludhiana, along with all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 06.06.2022 (Annexure P-3) effected between the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* submits that the petitioner is father-in-law aged 68 years. He further submits that respondent No. 2 was married to son of the petitioner on 26.04.2021 as per Hindu Rites and ceremonies. He further submits that on account of matrimonial dispute between the petitioner's son and respondent No. 2, they had been living separately since 01.04.2022. Learned counsel submits that subsequently, the parties amicably settled the dispute amongst themselves and the terms thereof were reduced to writing in a

**CRM-M-29611-2022****2**

compromise dated 06.06.2022. However, subsequently respondent No. 2 resiled from the compromise.

3. Learned State counsel submits that the challan in the present case was presented on 29.04.2023 and the charges were framed on 26.07.2023 and out of a total of 08 prosecution witnesses 02 prosecution witnesses have been examined.

4. Since the quashing of the FIR in the instant case was sought on the basis of the compromise, which now does not subsist, given that respondent No. 2 has refused to undertake the same, the petition stands dismissed. However, in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the trial Court to conclude the trial expeditiously and in accordance with law.

24.04.2025

reena

**(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No