



243

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25022-2025

Date of Decision:08.07.2025

AMRAN @ M AMARAN

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, Deputy, A.G., Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.145 dated 21.12.2023, registered under Sections 420, 487 of IPC 1860 (Sections 120-B of IPC 1860 added later on), Police Station Division No.3, District Ludhiana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not initially named in the FIR nor there is any allegation against the petitioner that he had sent the SIMs of different companies to Cambodia through courier. Initially a co-accused Ajay Kumar was arrested on 21.12.2023 and after his arrest, a person Parmanand Patel was arrested and an amount of Rs.4,80,000/- was transferred in the account of Parmanand Patel by Anita on the asking of Parmod. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner had no concern with those accused and there was no exchange of money with them. He further



submits that co-accused Ajay Kumar, Surjit Kumar @ Pakhi and Parmanand Patel have been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 17.12.2024 and the challan has been presented against the petitioner.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court. He has also filed reply by way of an affidavit of the Assistant Commissioner of Police (Central), Ludhiana on behalf of the respondent-State and the same is taken on record.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, other similarly placed co-accused Ajay Kumar, Surjit Kumar @ Pakhi and Parmanand Patel have been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court. The petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last about more than 01 year and 06 months and challan has already been presented against him. Thus, the further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

08.07.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No