



CWP-12298-2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(205)

CWP-12298-2023
Date of Decision : 12.12.2025

Arshi Chand ...Petitioner

Versus

Chandigarh Group of Colleges and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Pragbir Singh Dhindsa, Advocate
for respondents No.2, 4, 5, 8, 9 and 10.

Mr. Viren Sibal, Advocate for respondent No.3.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents No. 2, 4, 5, 8, 9 and 10, apprises this Court that the prayer made through the instant writ petition, has already become infructuous, as during the pendency of the instant writ petition, the petitioner has now left the college.
2. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, today in the Court.
3. In view of the specific statement suffered by the learned counsel for the respondents No.2, 4, 5, 8, 9 and 10, the instant writ petition is **dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.**
4. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner, to get the instant writ petition revived, by moving an apt application, if the cause of action still survives.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

December 12, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No