



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

COCP-2245-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.05.2025

Toshma Kumari

...Petitioner

V/s

Sh. Ashok Verma and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the directions issued by a coordinate Bench vide order dated 17.02.2025 passed in CWP-298-2025 as regards the decision to be taken by the competent authority in view of the law laid down in *Archana vs. State of Haryana and others* (CWP-15661-2017, decided on 27.03.2023) and, thereafter, the decision to be taken by the Haryana Public Service Commission within a further period of three weeks have been taken and the petitioner has been recommended for appointment. Orders dated 06.05.2025 and 16.05.2025 passed by the competent authority and the Haryana Public Service Commission respectively filed in the Court to this effect are taken on record.

2. Learned counsel representing the petitioner, on instructions, submits that the only thing which remains is offering of appointment to the petitioner as PGT in terms of the directions issued on 17.02.2025.



3. Learned counsel representing the State of Haryana submits that the said directions shall be complied with in accordance with law expeditiously.
4. That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no grievance subsists at this stage and he does not wish to press the present contempt petition.
5. Dismissed as not having been pressed. Rule is discharged.
- Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

May 20, 2025
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No