

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:060714



112

CRWP-4687-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.05.2025.

Nandani Singh and another
Versus

....Petitioners.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Amit Puri, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 – Nandani Singh, aged 21 years and petitioner No.2 – Suraj Kumar, aged 23 years and have got married on 23.04.2025, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 and 5. The copies of Aadhaar card of petitioners (Annexures P-1 and P-2) have been placed on record. Copy of marriage certificate has also been placed on record as Annexure P-4. Due to some typographical error, age of petitioner No.1 has wrongly been mentioned as 14 years in the translated copy, whereas as per Aadhar Card her date of birth is 06.05.2004 and she is around 21 years.

Earlier they did not disclose about their marriage to respondents No.4 and 5 and were living separately. Now, they want to settle

together under one roof. It has been further submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, the petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 05.05.2025 (Annexure P-5) at the earliest and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

08.05.2025

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No