



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12959-2023

Date of Decision : **24.01.2025**

MANDIR THAKURJI MANAGEMENT SOCIETY

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CWP-18619-2023

MANDIR THAKURJI MANAGEMENT SOCIETY

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. S.S.Aviraj, Advocate for
Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Gulshan Nandwani, Advocate,
for respondent no.5 in CWP-12959-2023

Mr. Ketan Antil, Advocate,
for respondent no.5 in CWP-18619-2023

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant writ petitions filed under Article 226/227
of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance for quashing of
orders dated 25.04.2023 and 02.05.2023 (Annexures P-5 and P-6
respectively in CWP-12959-2023), passed by the District Registrar,

Rewari, and also order dated 18.07.2023 (Annexure P-8 in CWP-18619-2023), passed by the SDO (Civil), Rewari.

2. A perusal of the instant petitions reflects that, in fact the instant petitions are a misconceived motions, inasmuch as, despite the petitioners being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of their grievance *qua* the impugned orders (*supra*), yet they have sidetracked the said remedy and have straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the present petitions.

3. Consequently, the instant petitions are hereby, **dismissed**. However, a liberty is extended to the present petitioners to approach the authority concerned, by filing an apt motion.

4. In case, the petitioners files an apt motion before the authority concerned, the latter concerned, shall make its all endeavours to decide the same within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, after giving an adequate opportunity to hearing to the parties concerned. Application seeking seeking condonation of delay, if any, filed therewith, be also considered most sympathetically, considering the fact that the petitioners had filed a misconceived motion before this Court.

5. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

January 24, 2025
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No