

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

**COCP-2229-2025
Decided on:22.05.2025**

Pritam

... Petitioner

Versus

Vinay Kumar

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Ms. Monika Khatri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned State counsel has pointed out that the Haryana Staff Selection Commission has recommended the petitioner for the post of Laboratory Attendant for Food and Drugs (Admn.) in order of merit. It is submitted that the said fact is apparent from the annexure to the letter dated 15.05.2025 which is taken on record and has been marked as 'Mark-A' and a copy of the same has been handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner. It is further submitted that the said recommendation has been sent to the concerned department i.e. Commissioner of Food and Drug, Administration, Haryana and the follow up action would be taken as expeditiously as possible.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the Commissioner of Food and Drug, Administration, Haryana be directed to appoint the petitioner in pursuance of the recommendation in a time bound manner.



3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present contempt petition is disposed of, at this stage, with a direction to the Commissioner of Food and Drug, Administration, Haryana to give effect to the recommendation made by the Haryana Staff Selection Commission, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of one month from today.

4. It would be open to the present petitioner to revive the present petition, in case, any cause still survives.

22.05.2025

Mehak

*Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?*

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

*Yes/No
Yes/No*