



**138 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4679-2025

Date of Decision: 07.05.2025

Anahit Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Gurdeep Singh Nehra, Advocate for
Mr. Bhupinder Singh Kundra, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 to 5 to provide police protection to the petitioners and protect their life and liberty from respondents No.6 to 8 and their accomplices.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.6 to 8. They apprehend danger from respondents No.6 to 8. Their marriage certificate as well as marriage photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexure P-3. The petitioners have submitted representations dated 01.05.2025 (Annexures P-1 & P-2) to respondents No.2 and 3..

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Addl. AG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.3- the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur

to decide the representation (Annexure P-2) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

07.05.2025			(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
sharmila			JUDGE
	Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No