



CM-13324-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP-18266-2011

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(204)

CM-13324-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP-18266-2011

Date of Decision : 19.03.2025

Sanjeev Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: None for the non-applicant/petitioners.

Mr. Gurdial Singh Jaswal, Advocate
for the applicant/respondent No.4.

Mr. Akshay Bhan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sahil Mehndiratta, Advocate and
Mr. Shubham Aggarwal, Advocate for the respondent No.5.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

CM-13324-CWP-2024

For the good and valid reasons assigned in the application, same
is allowed, as prayed for.

Application stands **disposed of** accordingly.

Main case taken on board today itself.

CWP-18266-2011

1. Court notice issued to the non-applicant/petitioners, by this
Court, vide order dated 17.01.2025, could not be effected, as it is reported that
the petitioners have shifted their residence.

2. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners today, in

the Court. Similar was the position on the previous two occasions as well.



CM-13324-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP-18266-2011

3. At this stage, this Court, can safely presume that the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the instant matter any longer. There would be no justification by keeping the matter on board indefinitely.
4. In view of the above, this Court, is left with no other option except to dismiss the instant writ petition for want of prosecution.
5. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **dismissed for want of prosecution.**
6. However, the liberty is extended to the petitioners, to get revive the instant writ petition, by moving an apt motion, in case, any grievance still survives.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

March 19, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No