

2025:PHHC:067460



**128 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25388-2025
Date of Decision: 20.05.2025

Aaditya @ Addy

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Harmanjeet Singh Sandhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of the FIR No.16 dated 10.01.2025, registered under Section 25(1-B)(a) of Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Sector 32-33, District Karnal alongwith the report/challan under Section 193 of BNSS dated 10.03.2025.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that live cartridge alleged to have been recovered from the petitioner on the basis of which the present FIR has been registered against him and now challan has also been presented. He submits that the petitioner produced the CCTV footage before the Investigating Agency to prove his innocence, however, the same has not been taken into consideration and the investigation has been hushed up and challan has been presented. He submits that for the redressal of his grievance, the petitioner has filed a representation (Annexure P-3) before respondent No.2 alongwith the CCTV footage, but the same has not been taken into consideration till date.

3. Notice of motion.



4. Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State. He submits that once the challan has been presented, the petitioner has remedy before the trial Court.

5. Heard.

6. It has been specifically submitted before this Court that the petitioner before this Court is 19 years of young boy, who has no criminal antecedent. Representation has already been filed alongwith the CCTV footage, which is pending before respondent No.2. Thus, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Investigating Agency to take into consideration the evidence produced by the petitioner and in case, the same is found substantiated, the respondent- Investigating Agency would file supplementary challan under Section 173(8) Cr.P.C.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

20.05.2025

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No