



CWP-14635-2022

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(230)

CWP-14635-2022

Date of Decision : 20.02.2025

Jang Bahadur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Manthan Pathania, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Arundhati Kulshreshtha, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.3, 4, 6 and 7.

Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a mandamus upon the official respondents No.1 and 2, to initiate the departmental enquiry against the respondent No.5, who has falsely implicated the present petitioner, in case FIR No.222 dated 17.10.2018, under Sections 420 and 120-B of the IPC, 1860, and Section 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar. Further prayer is made for grant of compensation to the petitioner, as he was falsely involved in the FIR (supra).



CWP-14635-2022

2

2. It is informed to this Court, by the learned State counsel, on instruction provide to her by ASI Balkar Singh, Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar, that departmental action has already been taken against the respondent No.5, and consequent thereupon, he has been dismissed from the services. Therefore, the asked for prayer, in the instant writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

3. So far as, the second prayer made by the petitioner is concerned, it reflects from the perusal of the entire case file, as well as during the course of the arguments, that the present petitioner has never been put to trial, whereas, he was declared innocent during the investigation, and therefore, the second prayer, as made in the instant writ petition, for grant of compensation, in respect of false implication to the present petitioner, is hereby declined, as the petitioner has never faced any protracted trial.

4. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.**

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

February 20, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No