

2025:PHHC:059098



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

**CRWP-4591-2025
Decided on:06.05.2025**

Komal**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****...Respondents****Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bhardwaj**

Present: Mr. Jaswinder Singh Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, for issuance of directions to respondent nos.2 & 3 for protection of her life and liberty and also not to allow respondent nos.4 to 6 to interfere in her peaceful life as she apprehends danger from them.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner was married with respondent no.4 Ankit Tiwari on 18.11.2024. The marriage certificate and the photographs evidencing their marriage are attached as Annexure P-2 and P-3. They both lived with each other till 20.04.2025 as husband and wife, however, respondent no.4, all of a sudden, left her alone on 20.04.2025. The petitioner tried to contact respondent no.4 (her husband) many times but he used to extend threats to her and allegedly saying to marry someone else. It is further submitted that family members of respondent no.4 are also continuously giving threats to the petitioner by making phone calls. Thus, she apprehends danger from respondent nos.4 to 6. The petitioner has

2025:PHHC:059098



submitted a representation dated 30.04.2025 (Annexure P-4) to respondent no.2.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District SAS Nagar Mohali to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) of the petitioner and grant her protection, if any threat to her life and liberty is perceived.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioner from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by her.

May 06, 2025
vinod*

(Rajesh Bhardwaj)
Judge

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: NO/YES
Whether Reportable: NO/YES